

Effective Actions of SU_n on Complex n -dimensional Manifolds^{*†}

A. V. Isaev and N. G. Kruzhilin[‡]

For $n \geq 2$ we classify all connected n -dimensional complex manifolds admitting effective actions of the special unitary group SU_n by biholomorphic transformations.

0 Introduction

Let M be a complex manifold and $\text{Aut}(M)$ the group of biholomorphic automorphisms of M equipped with the compact-open topology. An action of a Lie group G on M by biholomorphic transformations is a real-analytic map

$$\Phi : G \times M \rightarrow M,$$

such that for every $g \in G$ we have $\Phi(g, \cdot) \in \text{Aut}(M)$, and the induced mapping $\Psi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)$, $g \mapsto \Phi(g, \cdot)$ is a homomorphism. In this paper we consider the special case $G = SU_n$.

Actions of the group SU_n on real manifolds have been studied extensively. One motivation for such studies is the importance of SU_n -actions in physics, especially for small values of n (see, e. g., [KS]). SU_n -actions have also been of interest to mathematicians, and various classification results for such actions have been obtained (see, e.g., [HsiWC], [HsiWY], [M]). There is, however, no classification result for the case of SU_n -actions by biholomorphic transformations on complex manifolds. We note, however, that in [U] all real compact connected orientable manifolds of dimension $2n$ admitting actions of SU_n were found for $n \geq 5$.

In the present paper we give a complete classification of complex n -dimensional manifolds that admit effective actions of the group SU_n by biholomorphic transformations for $n \geq 2$. The effectiveness of an action means

^{*}**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 32Q57, 32M17.

[†]**Keywords and Phrases:** complex manifolds, group actions.

[‡]The second author is supported by grants RFBR 99-01-00969a and 00-15-96008.

that the map Ψ defined above is injective. For an effective action, $\text{Aut}(M)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to SU_n .

In Section 1 we consider the simplest case when an action has a fixed point. In this case M is equivalent to either the unit ball $B^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, or $\mathbb{C}^n$, or $\mathbb{CP}^n$ (Proposition 1.1).

The rest of the paper deals with actions without fixed points. In Section 2 we describe orbits of such actions (Theorem 2.3). It turns out that every orbit is either a real, or complex hypersurface in M .

In Section 3 we show how orbits can be glued together. We first consider the case when all orbits are real hypersurfaces and show that for $n \geq 3$ a manifold that admits such an action is equivalent to either a spherical shell in $\mathbb{C}^n$, or a Hopf manifold, or the quotient of one of these manifolds by the action of a discrete subgroup of the center of U_n . For $n = 2$, however, the situation is more interesting. Apart from the above manifolds the classification in this case also includes spherical shells in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with a non-standard complex structure inherited from the non-standard complex structure on $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ introduced in [R1] (Theorem 3.2).

Next, we consider the situation when at least one complex hypersurface orbit is present in M and show that there can exist at most two such orbits. They are biholomorphically equivalent to $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ and, for $n \geq 3$, can only arise either as a result of blowing up $\mathbb{C}^n$ or a ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$ at the origin, or adding the hyperplane $\infty \in \mathbb{CP}^n$ to the exterior of a ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$, or blowing up $\mathbb{CP}^n$ at one point, or taking the quotient of any of these examples by the action of a discrete subgroup of the center of U_n . For $n = 2$ the classification also includes the exterior of a ball in $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ with non-standard complex structure to which the hyperplane $\infty \in \mathbb{CP}^2$ is attached (Theorem 3.6).

One can attempt to obtain classifications analogous to ours in more general settings, for example, for the group SU_n acting on k -dimensional complex manifolds with $k \neq n$. In fact, it can be shown that effective actions of SU_n do not exist on manifolds of dimension $k < n$. Thus, our classification is obtained for the smallest possible dimension of manifolds for which there are effective actions. Another generalization is possible if one considers not necessarily effective actions, e.g., actions with non-trivial discrete kernel. For many our arguments the effectiveness of actions is essential. For non-effective actions entirely new effects are possible, for example, a manifold may not have any real hypersurface orbits and, if $n = 2$, totally real codimension 2 orbits can occur.

In [IKru] we classified all complex manifolds of dimension n that admit effective actions of the full unitary group U_n . Our study in [IKru] was motivated by a characterization of the complex space $\mathbb{C}^n$ obtained as a result of the classification. Our original proof for the case of SU_n was similar (however, harder on the technical side) to that for U_n . The shorter and more elegant argument presented in this paper is to a great extent due to communications that we have had with A. Huckleberry. He made extensive comments that significantly changed our original approach. We wish to thank him for his interest in our work and many inspiring suggestions.

We acknowledge that a large part of this work was done while the first author was visiting the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

1 Case of Fixed Point

In this section we list complex manifolds that admit effective actions of SU_n by biholomorphic transformations with fixed point. As shown in Proposition 1.1 below, the classification in this case easily follows from the results in [GK] and [BDK]. First, we will introduce some notation.

For $p \in M$ let I_p be the isotropy subgroup of SU_n at p , i.e., $I_p := \{g \in SU_n : gp = p\}$. As above, we denote by Ψ the continuous homomorphism of SU_n into $\text{Aut}(M)$ induced by the action of SU_n on M . Let $L_p := \{d_p(\Psi(g)) : g \in I_p\}$ be the linear isotropy subgroup, where $d_p f$ is the differential of a map f at p . Clearly, L_p is a compact subgroup of $GL(T_p(M), \mathbb{C})$, where $T_p(M)$ is the tangent space to M at p . Since the action of SU_n is effective, L_p is isomorphic to I_p . The isomorphism is given by the map

$$\delta : I_p \rightarrow L_p, \quad \delta(g) := d_p(\Psi(g)). \quad (1.1)$$

We will now prove the following proposition.

Proposition 1.1 *Let M be a connected complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ endowed with an effective action of SU_n by biholomorphic transformations that has a fixed point in M . Then M is biholomorphically equivalent to either*

- (i) the unit ball $B^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, or
- (ii) $\mathbb{C}^n$, or
- (iii) $\mathbb{CP}^n$.

The biholomorphic equivalence f can be chosen to be either SU_n -equivariant, or, if $n \geq 3$, SU_n -antievquivariant, i.e., to satisfy either the relation

$$f(gq) = gf(q), \quad (1.2)$$

or the relation

$$f(gq) = \bar{g}f(q), \quad (1.3)$$

for all $g \in SU_n$ and $q \in M$ (here manifolds (i)-(iii) are considered with the standard action of SU_n).

Proof: Let $p \in M$ be a fixed point for the SU_n -action. Then $I_p = SU_n$, and L_p is a subgroup of $GL(T_p(M), \mathbb{C})$ isomorphic to SU_n . Since L_p is compact, one can find coordinates in $T_p(M)$ such that $L_p \subset U_n$. In these coordinates $L_p = SU_n$ and therefore L_p acts transitively on the unit sphere in $T_p(M)$.

Assume first that M is non-compact. Then by [GK] the manifold M is biholomorphically equivalent to either B^n , or $\mathbb{C}^n$ and a biholomorphism may be chosen to satisfy $F(gq) = \gamma(g)F(q)$ for all $g \in SU_n$ and $q \in M$, and some automorphism γ of SU_n , where the action of SU_n on $\mathbb{C}^n$ in the right-hand side is standard. Every automorphism of SU_n has either the form

$$g \mapsto h_0 g h_0^{-1}, \quad (1.4)$$

or the form

$$g \mapsto h_0 \bar{g} h_0^{-1}, \quad (1.5)$$

with $h_0 \in SU_n$. Thus, setting $f := \hat{h}_0^{-1} \circ F$, where $\hat{h}_0$ is the automorphism of $\mathbb{CP}^n$ corresponding to h_0 , we obtain either (1.2), or (1.3) respectively.

Assume now that M is compact. Then by [BDK] M is biholomorphically equivalent to $\mathbb{CP}^n$. As above, we denote the equivalence map by F . We will now show that a biholomorphism between M and $\mathbb{CP}^n$ can be chosen to satisfy (1.2) or (1.3).

The action of SU_n on M induces an injective homomorphism $\tilde{\Psi} : SU_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^n)$. Since $\tilde{\Psi}(SU_n)$ has a fixed point in $\mathbb{CP}^n$, $\tilde{\Psi}(SU_n)$ is conjugate in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ to SU_n embedded in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ in the standard way. Hence there exists an automorphism γ of SU_n such that for some $s \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ we have $(s \circ F)(gq) = \gamma(g)(s \circ F)(q)$ for all $g \in SU_n$ and $q \in M$, where the action of SU_n on $\mathbb{CP}^n$ in the right-hand side is standard. We again use that γ has an

explicit expression as in (1.4) or (1.5) and setting $f := \hat{h}_0^{-1} \circ s \circ F$ obtain a map that satisfies either (1.2), or (1.3) respectively.

The proof is complete. $\square$

2 Description of Orbits

In this section we assume that SU_n acts on M without fixed points and give a description of orbits that such SU_n -actions can have. We start with examples.

Example 2.1

(I). Denote by $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}/\mathbb{Z}_m$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the manifold obtained from $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ by identifying every point z in $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} z$. Let SU_n act on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ in the standard way. Then the lens manifold $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1} := S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ is an orbit of this action.

(II). We recall the example of a non-standard complex structure on $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ given by Rossi in [R1]. Let $(w_0 : w_1 : w_2 : w_3)$ be homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{CP}^3$. Consider in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ the variety $\mathcal{W}$ given by

$$w_1 w_2 = w_3 (w_3 + w_0). \quad (2.1)$$

Let $(z_0 : z_1 : z_2)$ denote homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Consider the map $\pi : \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ defined by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 &= z_0^2, \\ w_1 &= z_1^2 - \frac{z_1 \overline{z_2}}{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2} z_0^2, \\ w_2 &= z_2^2 + \frac{\overline{z_1} z_2}{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2} z_0^2, \\ w_3 &= z_1 z_2 - \frac{|z_2|^2}{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2} z_0^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The map π is everywhere 2-to-1, and its image is $\mathcal{W} \setminus \Gamma$, where Γ is given by

$$w_0 = 1, \quad , w_2 = -\overline{w_1}, \quad w_3 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.3)$$

Consider the unique complex structure on $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ that makes π locally biholomorphic. Denote $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ with this new complex structure by $\mathcal{X}$. It can be checked that the standard action of SU_2 on $\mathcal{X}$ is in fact an action by biholomorphic transformations. Denote by $\mathfrak{S}_R^3$ the sphere of radius R in $\mathcal{X}$ with the induced CR-structure. It is an orbit under the action of SU_2 on $\mathcal{X}$ and therefore its CR-structure is invariant under the standard action of SU_2 on the sphere. It follows from the results in [R1] (see also [R2]) that none of the surfaces $\mathfrak{S}_R^3$ is CR-equivalent to the ordinary sphere S^3 and hence none of $\mathfrak{S}_R^3$ is spherical, unlike the orbit in (I) above. Further, it can be shown (for example, by using the approach that utilizes classifying algebras as in [Kr]) that every CR-structure on S^3 invariant under a transitive action of SU_2 by CR-transformations is equivalent to either S^3 equipped with the standard CR-structure, or to $\mathfrak{S}_R^3$ for some $R > 0$ by means of an SU_2 -equivariant CR-diffeomorphism, and that the manifolds $\mathfrak{S}_R^3$ are not pairwise CR-equivalent.

(III). Let $\widehat{\mathbb{C}^n}$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{C}^n$ at the origin, i.e.,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{C}^n} := \left\{ (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^{n-1} : z_i w_j = z_j w_i, \text{ for all } i, j \right\},$$

where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ are coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $w = (w_1 : \dots : w_n)$ are homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. We define an action of SU_n on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}^n}$ as follows. For $(z, w) \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}^n}$ and $g \in SU_n$ we set

$$g(z, w) := (gz, gw),$$

where in the right-hand side we use the standard actions of SU_n on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. Then $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ embedded in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}^n}$ as the set of all points $(0, w) \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}^n}$ is an SU_n -orbit.

In this section we will show that every orbit of an SU_n -action on M is equivalent to an orbit of one of the tree types specified in Example 2.1: a lens manifold $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathfrak{S}_R^3$ for some $R > 0$ (here $n = 2$) or $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ (see Theorem 2.3 for a precise statement).

An action of SU_n on M is given by a real-analytic map

$$\Phi : SU_n \times M \rightarrow M.$$

Fix $p \in M$ and let $O(p) := \{gp : g \in SU_n\}$ be the SU_n -orbit of p . The group SU_n is a totally real submanifold in the complex Lie group $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, and therefore we can locally extend the map Φ to a holomorphic map

$$\tilde{\Phi} : V \times M_p \rightarrow M, \tag{2.4}$$

where V is a connected neighborhood of SU_n in $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and M_p is a neighborhood of $O(p)$ in M . We refer to $\tilde{\Phi}$ as a local holomorphic action of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ on M .

For a point $p \in M$, let $J_p := \{g \in V : gp = p\}$ be the local isotropy subgroup of p under the local $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -action. Clearly, $I_p = J_p \cap SU_n$. We now define the normalizer N_p of J_p in $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ as follows (see [Huck], p. 145): denote by $\mathfrak{j}_p$ the Lie algebra of J_p and set

$$N_p := \left\{ g \in SL_n(\mathbb{C}) : g\mathfrak{j}_p g^{-1} = \mathfrak{j}_p \right\}.$$

Clearly, N_p is an algebraic subgroup of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $J_p \subset N_p \cap V$. Further, since we consider actions without fixed points, N_p is a proper subgroup of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} SL_n(\mathbb{C})/N_p \leq n$.

We need the following proposition whose proof is similar in part to that of Theorem 3.4 in [Huck] (see p. 169).

Proposition 2.2 *N_p is conjugate in $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ to one of the following subgroups*

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1/\det A & c \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}, A \in GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}), c \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \right) \right\}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1/\det A & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ d & A \end{pmatrix}, A \in GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}), d \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \right) \right\} \text{ (here } n \geq 3), \quad (2.6)$$

$$S_1 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1/a \end{pmatrix}, a \in \mathbb{C}^* \right\} \text{ (here } n = 2), \quad (2.7)$$

$$S_2 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1/a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ -1/b & 0 \end{pmatrix}, a, b \in \mathbb{C}^* \right\} \text{ (here } n = 2), \quad (2.8)$$

where in (2.5) and (2.6), c is a row vector and d is a column vector respectively.

Proof: We say that $SL_n(\mathbb{C})/N_p$ cannot be fibered, if there does not exist a proper algebraic subgroup $G \supset N_p$ in $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} SL_n(\mathbb{C})/G < \dim_{\mathbb{C}} SL_n(\mathbb{C})/N_p$ (cf. [Huck], p. 169). Suppose first that $SL_n(\mathbb{C})/N_p$ cannot be fibered.

Assume further that N_p is not reductive and consider its unipotent radical U . Since N_p is not reductive, U is non-trivial. Let $N(U)$ be the normalizer of U in $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Clearly, $N(U)$ is a proper algebraic subgroup of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Consider the unipotent radical W of $N(U)$. Suppose first that $W = U$. It then follows from Corollary B in [Hum] (p. 186) that $N(U)$ is parabolic. Since $N(U) \supset N_p$ and $SL_n(\mathbb{C})/N_p$ cannot be fibered, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} N(U) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} N_p$. Since $N(U)$ is connected, we obtain that $N_p = N(U)$ is a maximal proper parabolic subgroup of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Assume now that $U \neq W$. Since $U \subset W$, we have $N_p \subset WN_p$. Further, WN_p is a proper algebraic subgroup of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and, since $SL_n(\mathbb{C})/N_p$ cannot be fibered, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} WN_p = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} N_p$. Hence $W \subset N_p$ and therefore $W = U$ which is a contradiction. Thus N_p is a maximal proper parabolic subgroup in $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} SL_n(\mathbb{C})/N_p \leq n$, N_p is conjugate to either subgroup (2.5), or, if $n \geq 3$, to subgroup (2.6), or, if $n = 4$, to the subgroup

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B & C \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}, B, D \in GL_2(\mathbb{C}), \det B \cdot \det D = 1, C \in \mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \right\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $n = 4$ and N_p be conjugate to subgroup (2.9). Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} SL_4(\mathbb{C})/N_p = 4$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} J_p = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} N_p = 11$. Further, $N_p \cap SU_4$ is connected and therefore $I_p = J_p \cap SU_4 = N_p \cap SU_4$. Calculating $N_p \cap SU_4$ we obtain that I_p is conjugate in SU_4 to $(U(2) \times U(2)) \cap SU_4$. It then follows that SU_4 acts transitively on M . Thus the elements of the center of SU_4 act trivially on M and the action in this case is not effective. Hence N_p for $n = 4$ cannot be conjugate to subgroup (2.9).

Assume next that N_p is reductive and let $K \subset SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ be its compact form. Conjugating K if necessary, we can assume that $K \subset SU_n$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} SL_n(\mathbb{C})/N_p \leq n$, we have $\dim K \geq n^2 - n - 1$. By Lemma 2.1 of [IKra], such subgroups do not exist for $n \geq 3$. Hence $n = 2$, and it follows from Lemma 2.1 of [IKru] that K^c , the connected component of the identity of K , is conjugate in SU_2 to $(U(1) \times U(1)) \cap SU_2$. Therefore, N_p^c is conjugate to S_1 and thus N_p is conjugate in $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ to either S_1 , or S_2 (see (2.7), (2.8)). However, $SL_2(\mathbb{C})/S_1$ clearly can be fibered since S_1 is contained in parabolic subgroup (2.5). Hence N_p is in fact conjugate to S_2 .

Suppose now that $SL_n(\mathbb{C})/N_p$ can be fibered, i.e., there exists a proper algebraic subgroup $G \supset N_p$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} SL_n(\mathbb{C})/G < \dim_{\mathbb{C}} SL_n(\mathbb{C})/N_p \leq$

n . We can assume that $SL_n(\mathbb{C})/G$ cannot be fibered. Arguing as above for G in place of N_p and taking into account that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} SL_n(\mathbb{C})/G < n$, we obtain that G is conjugate in $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ to either subgroup (2.5), or, if $n \geq 3$, to subgroup (2.6). In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} SL_n(\mathbb{C})/G = n - 1$ and hence $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} J_p = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} N_p = n^2 - n - 1$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_p$ denote the Lie algebras of G and N_p respectively. Suppose first that G is conjugate to subgroup (2.5). Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugate in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ to the subalgebra

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -\text{tr } \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \\ \vdots & \alpha \\ 0 & \end{pmatrix}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{gl}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}), \beta \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \right\}, \quad (2.10)$$

where β is a row vector and $\text{tr } \alpha$ denotes the trace of the matrix α . Clearly, $\mathfrak{n}_p$ is a codimension 1 complex subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$ which is not an ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$. It is not hard to determine all such subalgebras in $\mathfrak{g}$ to obtain that either $n = 2$ and $\mathfrak{n}_p$ is conjugate in $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ to the subalgebra of diagonal matrices, or $n = 3$ and $\mathfrak{n}_p$ is conjugate in $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$ to the subalgebra of upper triangular matrices. We consider these two cases separately.

If $n = 2$, N_p^c is conjugate in $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ to subgroup S_1 . Then N_p is conjugate to either S_1 , or to S_2 . Since $SL_2(\mathbb{C})/N_p$ can be fibered, N_p is in fact conjugate to S_1 .

If $n = 3$, N_p^c is conjugate in $SL_3(\mathbb{C})$ to the subgroup of upper triangular matrices. Therefore $N_p^c \cap SU_3$ is connected and hence $I_p^c = (J_p \cap SU_3)^c = N_p^c \cap SU_3$. Calculating $N_p^c \cap SU_3$ we obtain that I_p^c is conjugate in SU_3 to $(U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)) \cap SU_3$. It then follows that SU_3 acts transitively on M . This implies that the elements of the center of SU_3 act trivially on M and the action in this case is not effective. Thus in fact $n \neq 3$.

The case when G is conjugate to subgroup (2.6) is treated similarly.

The proof is complete. $\square$

We will now obtain the main result of this section.

THEOREM 2.3 *Let M be a connected complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ endowed with an effective action of SU_n by biholomorphic transformations. Assume that there exist no fixed points for this action. Then for $p \in M$ the*

orbit $O(p)$ is either a complex, or real hypersurface in M . In the first case $O(p)$ is biholomorphically equivalent to $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. In the second case $O(p)$ is CR-equivalent to either

- (i) a lens manifold $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m, n) = 1$, or
- (ii) $\mathfrak{S}_R^3$ for some $R > 0$ (here $n = 2$).

The biholomorphic equivalence in the first case and CR-equivalence in the second case can be chosen to be either SU_n -equivariant, or, if $n \geq 3$, SU_n -antiequivariant (see (1.2), (1.3) respectively).

Proof: We apply Proposition 2.2. Suppose first that N_p is conjugate to maximal parabolic subgroup (2.5). Then $SL_n(\mathbb{C})/N_p$ is biholomorphically and $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariantly equivalent to $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})/\mathfrak{j}_p \leq n$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} SL_n(\mathbb{C})/N_p = n - 1$, we have either $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} J_p = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} N_p = n^2 - n$, or $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} J_p = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} N_p - 1 = n^2 - n - 1$.

Let first $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} J_p = n^2 - n$. We set

$$\Lambda := \{gp : g \in V_0\}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\Lambda_1 := \{gN_p : g \in V_0\}. \quad (2.12)$$

where $V_0 \subset V$ is a connected neighborhood of SU_n in $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\hat{O}$ be the SU_n -orbit of the element $N_p \in SL_n(\mathbb{C})/N_p$. Clearly, Λ and Λ_1 are germs of complex manifolds that contain $O(p)$ and $\hat{O}$ respectively. The map

$$\rho : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda, \quad gN_p \mapsto gp$$

is well-defined and biholomorphic if V_0 is sufficiently small. The restriction of ρ to $\hat{O}$ is an SU_n -equivariant map onto $O(p)$.

Calculating $N_p \cap SU_n$, we see that it is conjugate in SU_n to the subgroup

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1/\det B & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, B \in U_{n-1} \right\}. \quad (2.13)$$

Since $N_p \cap SU_n$ is connected, we obtain $I_p = J_p \cap SU_n = N_p \cap SU_n$, hence $\dim O(p) = 2n - 2$. On the other hand, since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} J_p = n^2 - n$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda = n - 1$ and therefore $O(p) = \Lambda$. The same calculation shows that $\hat{O} = \Lambda_1 = SL_n(\mathbb{C})/N_p$. This proves that $O(p)$ is a complex hypersurface in M , biholomorphically and SU_n -equivariantly equivalent to $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$.

Suppose now that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} J_p = n^2 - n - 1$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{j}_p$ is a codimension 1 complex normal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{n}_p$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_p$ is conjugate in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ to subalgebra (2.10). It is not hard to see that $\mathfrak{j}_p$ is then conjugate in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ to the subalgebra of (2.10) for which $\alpha \in \mathfrak{sl}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $H \subset SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the connected subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{j}_p$. Clearly, H is conjugate in $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ to the subgroup

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & Q \\ \vdots & \\ 0 & \end{pmatrix}, Q \in SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}), r \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \right\},$$

where r is a row vector. Further, $H \cap SU_n$ is conjugate in SU_n to SU_{n-1} embedded as the subgroup

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & P & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}, P \in SU_{n-1} \right\}.$$

In particular, $H \cap SU_n$ is connected and therefore for the connected component of the identity I_p^c of I_p we have $I_p^c = H \cap SU_n$. It then follows that $O(p)$ is a real hypersurface in M .

Consider the germ of complex manifold Λ defined in (2.11). In this case Λ is a neighborhood of $O(p)$ in M . Denote now by $\hat{O}$ the SU_n -orbit of the element $H \in SL_n(\mathbb{C})/H$ and set

$$\Lambda_2 := \{gH : g \in V_0\}.$$

$\hat{O}$ is a real hypersurface in $SL_n(\mathbb{C})/H$ and Λ_2 is its neighborhood. The holomorphic map

$$\sigma : \Lambda_2 \rightarrow \Lambda, \quad gH \mapsto gp$$

is well-defined if V_0 is sufficiently small. The restriction $\hat{\sigma}$ of σ to $\hat{O}$ is an SU_n -equivariant covering CR-map onto $O(p)$. The fibers of $\hat{\sigma}$ are given by $\hat{\sigma}^{-1}(gp) = gI_pH$ for $g \in SU_n$. It is easy to see that $SL_n(\mathbb{C})/H$ is biholomorphically and $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariantly equivalent to $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Hence $\hat{O}$ is

equivalent to the sphere $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ by means of an SU_n -equivariant CR-diffeomorphism. Thus we obtain that S^{2n-1} covers $O(p)$ by means of an SU_n -equivariant CR-map $\tilde{\sigma} : S^{2n-1} \rightarrow O(p)$.

We will now determine the fibers of $\tilde{\sigma}$. For this we need to find the full isotropy group I_p . Suppose first that $n \geq 3$ and apply Lemma 4.4 of [IKru]. Since I_p^c is conjugate in SU_n to SU_{n-1} , we obtain that I_p is conjugate in SU_n to $G_n^m \cdot SU_{n-1}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where G_n^m is the subgroup

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ 0 & t \cdot \text{id} \end{pmatrix}, s, t \in \mathbb{C}^*, s^m = 1, st^{n-1} = 1 \right\}.$$

We will now show that this also holds for $n = 2$. Let $T_p(O(p))$ be the tangent space at p to $O(p)$ in the tangent space $T_p(M)$ at p to M . Choose coordinates in $T_p(M)$ in which the linear isotropy subgroup $L_p \subset GL(T_p(M), \mathbb{C})$ becomes a subgroup of U_2 and consider the orthogonal complement W to $T_p(O(p)) \cap iT_p(O(p))$. Clearly, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_p(O(p)) \cap iT_p(O(p)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W = 1$. The group L_p preserves both $T_p(O(p)) \cap iT_p(O(p))$ and W . In addition, it preserves $T_p(O(p))$ and hence the line $W \cap T_p(O(p))$. Therefore it can only act as $\pm \text{id}$ on W . Since $O(p)$ is covered by S^3 , it is strongly pseudoconvex and therefore L_p can only act trivially on W . Thus L_p and hence I_p are isomorphic to a subgroup of U_1 . This implies that I_p is a finite cyclic group, i.e., $I_p = \{C^l, 0 \leq l < m\}$ for some $C \in SU_2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $C^m = \text{id}$. Choosing new coordinates in which C is in the diagonal form we see that I_p is conjugate in SU_2 to the group G_2^m .

Thus, we have proved that for all $n \geq 2$, I_p is conjugate in SU_n to $G_n^m \cdot SU_{n-1}$. This implies that the fibers of $\tilde{\sigma}$ are given as follows: $\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(gp) = \{\mu g(1, 0, \dots, 0) : \mu^m = 1\}$, where $g(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ denotes the ordinary action of the element $g \in SU_n$ on the vector $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in S^{2n-1}$ (here we assume that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is chosen to satisfy $\tilde{\sigma}((1, 0, \dots, 0)) = p$). Since S^{2n-1} covers the lens manifold $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ by means of an SU_n -equivariant CR-map with exactly the same fibers, we obtain that $O(p)$ is CR- and SU_n -equivariantly equivalent to $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$. The SU_n -action on M (and hence on $O(p)$) can only be effective, if $(m, n) = 1$.

Suppose now that $n \geq 3$ and N_p is conjugate to maximal parabolic subgroup (2.6). This case is almost identical to the preceding one. The subgroup (2.6) is mapped into subgroup (2.5) by the following outer automorphism of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$: $\gamma(g) = (g^T)^{-1}$, where g^T denotes the transposed matrix. The restriction of γ to SU_n is an outer automorphism of SU_n : $\gamma(g) = \bar{g}$. This

observation shows that $O(p)$ in the case $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} J_p = n^2 - n$ is equivalent to $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ by means of a biholomorphic SU_n -antiequivariant map and in the case $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} J_p = n^2 - n - 1$ to $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ by means of an SU_n -antiequivariant CR-diffeomorphism.

Let now $n = 2$ and N_p be conjugate to subgroup S_1 (see (2.7)):

$$N_p = g_0 S_1 g_0^{-1},$$

for some $g_0 \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Conjugating the above identity by a suitable $t \in SU_2$ and replacing g_0 by $g_0 s$ for a suitable $s \in S_1$, we obtain

$$t N_p t^{-1} = h_0 S_1 h_0^{-1},$$

where

$$h_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let first $c \neq 0$. In this case $h_0 S_1 h_0^{-1} \cap SU_2$ is the center of SU_2 . Since $t I_p t^{-1} \subset h_0 S_1 h_0^{-1} \cap SU_2$, I_p is discrete. Hence $O(p)$ is a real hypersurface in M . The effectiveness of the SU_2 -action on M and hence on $O(p)$ then implies that I_p is in fact trivial, and therefore $O(p)$ is diffeomorphic to S^3 . Let $\hat{O}$ be the SU_2 -orbit of $N_p \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})/N_p$. $\hat{O}$ is a real hypersurface in $SL_2(\mathbb{C})/N_p$. Consider Λ given by (2.11) and Λ_1 given by (2.12). Clearly, Λ_1 is a neighborhood of $\hat{O}$ in $SL_2(\mathbb{C})/N_p$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} SL_2(\mathbb{C})/N_p = 2$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} J_p = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} N_p = 1$ and therefore Λ is a neighborhood of $O(p)$ in M . The holomorphic map

$$\tau : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_1, \quad gp \mapsto g N_p \quad (2.14)$$

is well-defined if V_0 is sufficiently small. The restriction of τ to $O(p)$ is a 2-to-1 SU_2 -equivariant covering CR-map onto $\hat{O}$. Further, $SL_2(\mathbb{C})/N_p$ is equivalent biholomorphically and $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariantly to the quadric $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ given by

$$z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 = 1 \quad (2.15)$$

(see [AHR]). The quadric $\mathcal{Q}$ is affinely equivalent to the finite part of the quadric $\mathcal{W}$ defined in (2.1). Therefore $O(p)$ has a non-spherical SU_2 -invariant CR-structure, and it now follows from the discussion in Example (2.1) (II) that $O(p)$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{S}_R^3$ for some $R > 0$ by means of an SU_2 -equivariant CR-diffeomorphism.

Assume now that $c = 0$. In this case $h_0 S_1 h_0^{-1} \cap SU_2 = S_1 \cap SU_2$ is the following subgroup

$$T_1 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, |\alpha| = 1 \right\}.$$

Therefore, I_p is conjugate in SU_2 to T_1 and hence $O(p)$ is a 2-dimensional submanifold of M . Consider the map τ defined in (2.14). Its restriction to $O(p)$ is a diffeomorphism onto $\hat{O}$. Since the SU_2 -orbit $\hat{O}$ of N_p in $SL_2(\mathbb{C})/N_p$ is totally real, $O(p)$ is totally real as well.

Let $T_p(O(p))$ denote the tangent space to $O(p)$ at p . Since $O(p)$ is totally real, we have $T_p(M) = T_p(O(p)) + iT_p(O(p))$. Consider the map δ defined in (1.1). The effectiveness of the SU_2 -action implies that δ is an isomorphism and therefore $\delta(-\text{id})$ is a non-trivial element of the linear isotropy subgroup L_p . On the other hand, $-\text{id} \in I_p$ and therefore $\delta(-\text{id})$ acts trivially on $T_p(O(p))$. Since $\delta(-\text{id})$ is a complex linear transformation of $T_p(M)$ it is in fact the identity. This contradiction shows that $c \neq 0$ and thus $O(p)$ is CR- and SU_2 -equivariantly equivalent to $\mathfrak{S}_R^3$ for some $R > 0$.

Let now $n = 2$ and N_p be conjugate to subgroup S_2 (see (2.8)). This case is treated similarly to the preceding one. If $c \neq 0$, $h_0 S_2 h_0^{-1} \cap SU_2$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_4$ and consists of the following four elements

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \pm ic/\sqrt{1+c^2} & \pm i/\sqrt{1+c^2} \\ \pm i/\sqrt{1+c^2} & \mp ic/\sqrt{1+c^2} \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

It then follows that I_p is discrete. Hence $O(p)$ is a real hypersurface in M . All non-trivial subgroups of $h_0 S_2 h_0^{-1} \cap SU_2$ contain the center of SU_2 . The effectiveness of the SU_2 -action on M and hence on $O(p)$ then implies that I_p is in fact trivial and therefore $O(p)$ is diffeomorphic to S^3 . Consider the map τ (see (2.14)). The restriction of τ to $O(p)$ is a 4-to-1 SU_2 -equivariant covering CR-map onto $\hat{O}$. Further, $SL_2(\mathbb{C})/N_p$ is biholomorphically and $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariantly equivalent to $\mathcal{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ which as before implies that $O(p)$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{S}_R^3$ for some $R > 0$ by means of an SU_2 -equivariant CR-diffeomorphism.

If $c = 0$, $h_0 S_2 h_0^{-1} \cap SU_2 = S_2 \cap SU_2$ is the following subgroup

$$T_2 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, |\alpha| = |\beta| = 1 \right\}.$$

Therefore, I_p is conjugate in SU_2 to either T_1 , or to T_2 . Hence $O(p)$ is a 2-dimensional submanifold of M . The restriction of τ to $O(p)$ is a map onto $\hat{O}$ which is 2-to-1 if I_p is conjugate to T_1 and a diffeomorphism if I_p is conjugate to T_2 . As before, this gives that $O(p)$ is totally real which contradicts the effectiveness of the SU_2 -action. Hence in fact $c \neq 0$.

The proof is complete. $\square$

3 Classification of actions without fixed points

In this section we obtain a complete classification of connected n -dimensional complex manifolds that admit effective actions of SU_n by biholomorphic transformations. As in the preceding section, we consider actions without fixed points.

We start with the case when all orbits are real hypersurfaces.

Definition 3.1 Let $S_{r,R}^n := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : r < |z| < R\}$, $0 \leq r < R \leq \infty$, be a spherical shell in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Further, we denote by $\mathfrak{S}_{r,R}^2$, $0 \leq r < R \leq \infty$, the spherical shell $S_{r,R}^2$ equipped with the non-standard complex structure induced by the complex structure of $\mathcal{X}$ (see Example 2.1). Finally, for $d \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $|d| \neq 1$, denote by M_d^n the Hopf manifold obtained by identifying $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with $d \cdot z$.

We will now prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.2 Let M be a connected complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ endowed with an effective action of SU_n by biholomorphic transformations. Assume that all orbits of this action are real hypersurfaces. Then M is biholomorphically equivalent to either

- (i) $S_{r,R}^n / \mathbb{Z}_m$, or
- (ii) $M_d^n / \mathbb{Z}_m$, or
- (iii) $\mathfrak{S}_{r,R}^2$ (here $n = 2$),

for some $0 \leq r < R \leq \infty$, $d \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $|d| \neq 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m, n) = 1$. The biholomorphic equivalence can be chosen to be either SU_n -equivariant, or, if $n \geq 3$, SU_n -antievquivariant (here manifolds (i)-(iii) are considered with the standard SU_n -actions).

Proof: If $n \geq 3$ or $n = 2$ and there exists a spherical orbit, i.e., an orbit equivalent to a lens manifold, then, repeating the proof of Theorem 2.7 in [IKru], we obtain that M is biholomorphically equivalent to either $S_{r,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$, or $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ by means of an SU_n -equivariant or SU_n -antiequivariant map.

Suppose now that $n = 2$ and the orbit of every point in M is non-spherical. Assume first that M is non-compact. Let $p \in M$. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $O(p)$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{S}_\rho^3 \subset \mathcal{X}$ by means of an SU_2 -equivariant CR-diffeomorphism f . The map f extends to a biholomorphic SU_2 -equivariant map between a neighborhood U of $O(p)$ (U can be taken to be a connected union of orbits) and $\mathfrak{S}_{\rho_1, \rho_2}^2 \subset \mathcal{X}$ with $0 \leq \rho_1 < \rho < \rho_2 \leq \infty$.

Let D be a maximal domain in M such that there exists an SU_2 -equivariant biholomorphic map f from D onto a spherical shell in $\mathcal{X}$. Let this shell be $\mathfrak{S}_{\rho', \rho''}^2$ for some $0 \leq \rho' < \rho'' \leq \infty$. As shown above, such a domain D exists. Assume that $D \neq M$ and let x be a boundary point of D . Consider the orbit $O(x)$. Since $O(x)$ is non-spherical, there exists an SU_2 -equivariant CR-diffeomorphism h from $O(x)$ onto $\mathfrak{S}_{\tilde{\rho}}^3$ for some $\tilde{\rho} > 0$. This diffeomorphism extends to an SU_2 -equivariant biholomorphic map between a neighborhood V of $O(x)$ (that can be taken to be a union of orbits) and $\mathfrak{S}_{\tilde{\rho}_1, \tilde{\rho}_2}^2$ for some $0 \leq \tilde{\rho}_1 < \tilde{\rho} < \tilde{\rho}_2 \leq \infty$. For $s \in V \cap D$ we consider the orbit $O(s)$. The CR-diffeomorphisms f and h map $O(s)$ into some surfaces $\mathfrak{S}_{r_1}^3$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{r_2}^3$. Hence the CR-diffeomorphism $F := h \circ f^{-1}$ maps $\mathfrak{S}_{r_1}^3$ SU_2 -equivariantly onto $\mathfrak{S}_{r_2}^3$. Since the surfaces $\mathfrak{S}_{r_1}^3$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{r_2}^3$ are not CR-equivalent unless $r_1 = r_2$, it follows that $r_1 = r_2 = t$, and F is an SU_n -equivariant holomorphic automorphism of $\mathfrak{S}_t^3$.

We now need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 *For any $t > 0$, every holomorphic automorphism of $\mathfrak{S}_t^3$ extends to an automorphism of the finite part $\mathcal{X}'$ of $\mathcal{X}$, namely $\mathcal{X}' := \mathcal{X} \setminus \{(0 : z_1 : z_2)\}$.*

Proof: Fix $p \in \mathfrak{S}_t^3$. Since $\mathfrak{S}_t^3$ is real-analytic and strongly pseudoconvex, there exist local coordinates $(z, w = u + iv)$ near p in which the equation of $\mathfrak{S}_t^3$ is given in the Chern-Moser normal form [CM]:

$$v = |z|^2 + \sum_{k \geq 2, l \geq 2} F_{k\bar{l}}(z, \bar{z}, u), \quad (3.1)$$

where $F_{k\bar{l}}$ denote terms of order k in z and order l in $\bar{z}$, and the following normalization conditions hold

$$F_{2\bar{2}} \equiv 0, \quad F_{2\bar{3}} \equiv 0, \quad F_{3\bar{3}} \equiv 0.$$

Since $\mathfrak{S}_t^3$ is homogeneous and not spherical, $F_{2\bar{4}} \neq 0$.

Consider the Lie group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_t^3)$ of all holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathfrak{S}_t^3$ and denote by $\text{Aut}_p(\mathfrak{S}_t^3)$ the isotropy subgroup of p . Since $\mathfrak{S}_t^3$ is not spherical at p , by [KL] in some normal coordinates near p all elements of $\text{Aut}_p(O(p))$ can be written in the form

$$z \mapsto e^{i\alpha} z, \quad w \mapsto w, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Observe now that among all transformations of the form (3.2), equation (3.1) with $F_{2\bar{4}} \neq 0$ can only be invariant under

$$z \mapsto \pm z, \quad w \mapsto w.$$

Thus for every p the isotropy subgroup $\text{Aut}_p(\mathfrak{S}_t^3)$ consists of no more than two elements. Since $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_t^3)$ is transitive on $\mathfrak{S}_t^3$, it follows that $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_t^3)$ has either one, or two connected components respectively. Let G_0 denote the connected component of the identity. Since $\text{Aut}_p(\mathfrak{S}_t^3)$ for every p is discrete, we have $\dim \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_t^3) = 3$, and hence G_0 consists exactly of the automorphisms induced by the standard action of SU_2 on $\mathcal{X}$. We will now show that $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_t^3)$ has indeed another connected component (that we denote by G_1) and describe it. We will find a holomorphic automorphism f of $\mathfrak{S}_t^3$ such that $f \notin G_0$. Then $G_1 = \{fg : g \in G_0\}$.

Recall that π defined in (2.2) is a 2-to-1 covering map from $\mathcal{X}$ onto $\mathcal{W} \setminus \Gamma$, where $\mathcal{W}$ a quadric defined in (2.1) and Γ is an exceptional set defined in (2.3). The restriction of π to $\mathcal{X}'$ is a covering map onto $\mathcal{W}' \setminus \Gamma$, where $\mathcal{W}'$ is the finite part of $\mathcal{W}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{W}'$ is affinely equivalent to the quadric $\mathcal{Q}$ introduced in (2.15), and under the affine equivalence $\mathcal{W}' \setminus \Gamma$ is mapped onto $\mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathbb{R}^3$. Hence there exists a 2-to-1 covering map $\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathbb{R}^3$. It is clear from the definition of π that $\tilde{\pi}(x) = \tilde{\pi}(y)$ iff $x = \pm y$.

Consider the following automorphism h of $\mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$z_1 \mapsto z_1, \quad z_2 \mapsto z_2, \quad z_3 \mapsto -z_3.$$

The automorphism h has fixed points in $\mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathbb{R}^3$, e.g., $p_0 = (\sqrt{2}, i, 0)$. Let H be a lift of h to the universal cover $\mathcal{X}'$. The map H is an automorphism of

$\mathcal{X}'$ and satisfies $\tilde{\pi} \circ H = h \circ \tilde{\pi}$. Let $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(p_0) = \{\pm q_0\}$. Then either $H(q_0) = q_0$, or $H(q_0) = -q_0$. In the first case we set $f := H$, in the second case $f := -H$. Hence, $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}')$ is non-trivial and has a fixed point in $\mathcal{X}'$.

A direct calculation shows that there exists a surjective homomorphism $\phi : SU_2 \rightarrow SO_3(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\tilde{\pi}(gq) = \phi(g)\tilde{\pi}(q)$ for all $q \in \mathcal{X}'$ and $g \in SU_2$, where $SO_3(\mathbb{R})$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^3$ in the standard way. Therefore, $\tilde{\pi}$ maps SU_2 -orbits to $SO_3(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits. Since h preserves every $SO_3(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit, $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_R^3)$ for every $R > 0$.

It remains to show that $f \notin G_0$. Indeed, otherwise in a neighborhood of $\mathfrak{S}_t^3$ the automorphism f would coincide with an automorphism induced by an element of SU_2 , and hence would coincide with it everywhere and thus would not have a fixed point in $\mathcal{X}'$.

Therefore, $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_t^3)$ has indeed two connected components and $G_1 = \{fg : g \in G_0\}$. Since f and every $g \in G_0$ extend to automorphisms of $\mathcal{X}'$, every element of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_t^3)$ does.

The proof is complete. $\square$

It now follows from Lemma 3.3 that F extends to an automorphism of $\mathcal{X}'$ (in fact, since F is in addition SU_2 -equivariant, the proof of Lemma 3.3 implies that F is from the center of SU_2 and thus extends to all of $\mathcal{X}$). Hence

$$\mathcal{F} := \begin{cases} F \circ f & \text{on } D \\ h & \text{on } V \end{cases}$$

is a holomorphic map on $D \cup V$, provided that $D \cap V$ is connected. As the proof of Theorem 2.7 in [IKru] shows, V can be chosen so that $D \cap V$ is indeed connected, and $\mathcal{F}$ is one-to-one on $D \cup V$. Hence D is not maximal. This contradiction implies that in fact $D = M$.

Assume now that M is compact and consider a domain D defined as above. Since M is compact, $D \neq M$. For a boundary point x of D we consider the orbit $O(x)$. Choose a connected neighborhood V of $O(x)$ as above, and let $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup O(x)$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.7 in [IKru], it turns out that $V_j \subset D$, $j = 1, 2$, and hence $M = D \cup O(x)$.

We can now extend $f|_{V_1}$ and $f|_{V_2}$ to SU_2 -equivariant biholomorphic maps f_1 and f_2 respectively, that are defined on V and map it onto spherical shells in $\mathcal{X}'$. Then f_1 and f_2 map $O(x)$ onto $\mathfrak{S}_{r_1}^3$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{r_2}^3$ respectively, for some $r_1, r_2 > 0$. Clearly, $r_1 \neq r_2$. However, the surfaces $\mathfrak{S}_R^3$ are not pairwise CR-equivalent. This contradiction shows that M cannot be compact.

The proof is complete. $\square$

We will now turn to the case when at least one complex hypersurface orbit is present. We need the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4 *Let M be a connected complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ endowed with an effective action of SU_n by biholomorphic transformations. Suppose that every orbit is a real or complex hypersurface in M and there exists a complex hypersurface orbit. Then there are at most two complex hypersurface orbits.*

If, in addition, $n = 2$ and there exists a non-spherical real hypersurface orbit in M , then there is exactly one complex hypersurface orbit. All sufficiently small tubular neighborhoods of this orbit constructed from an SU_n -invariant distance on M are strongly pseudoconcave.

Proof: Suppose first that all orbits in M are complex hypersurfaces. Recall from the proof of Theorem 2.3 that the isotropy subgroup of every point in a complex hypersurface orbit is conjugate in SU_n to subgroup (2.13) and therefore contains the center of SU_n . Hence the center of SU_n acts trivially on every complex hypersurface orbit and, since M is a union of such orbits, the SU_n -action on M is not effective. This contradiction shows that there is at least one real hypersurface orbit in M . It then follows from [N] (see Corollary 5.8 there) that there can exist at most two complex hypersurface orbits in M .

Let $n = 2$ and there is a non-spherical real hypersurface orbit in M . It then follows from Theorem 3.2 that in fact every real hypersurface orbit in M is non-spherical. Suppose there exist two complex hypersurface orbits O_1 and O_2 in M . Fix an SU_2 -invariant distance function on M and consider a tubular ϵ -neighborhood U_ϵ of O_1 not containing O_2 . Clearly, if ϵ is sufficiently small, ∂U_ϵ is a connected SU_n -invariant real hypersurface in M and hence it is a real hypersurface orbit. Therefore, U_ϵ is either strongly pseudoconvex, or strongly pseudoconcave. Suppose that U_ϵ is strongly pseudoconvex. Then blowing down O_1 in U_ϵ we obtain a Stein analytic space with boundary ∂U_ϵ (see e.g., [GR]). But this is impossible since it is shown in [R1] (see also [R2]) that none of $\mathfrak{S}_R^3$ can bound a Stein analytic space. Hence U_ϵ is strongly pseudoconcave. Therefore $M \setminus \overline{U_\epsilon}$ is a strongly pseudoconvex neighborhood of O_2 which is impossible by the same argument.

The proof is complete. $\square$

To formulate our next result we need the following definition.

Definition 3.5 *Let as before $\widehat{\mathbb{C}^n}$ denote the blow-up of $\mathbb{C}^n$ at the origin and, analogously, let $\widehat{B^n}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{CP}^n}$ denote the blow-ups of the unit ball B^n and $\mathbb{CP}^n$ at the origin respectively. Let further $\widetilde{S_{r,\infty}^n} \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ for $r > 0$ be the union of the spherical shell $S_{r,\infty}^n$ with infinite outer radius and the hypersurface at infinity in $\mathbb{CP}^n$. Similarly, let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}_{r,\infty}^2} \subset \mathcal{X}$ for $r > 0$ be the union of the spherical shell $\mathfrak{S}_{r,\infty}^2 \subset \mathcal{X}$ with infinite outer radius and the hypersurface at infinity in $\mathcal{X}$.*

We are now ready to formulate our final classification theorem.

THEOREM 3.6 *Let M be a connected complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ endowed with an effective action of SU_n by biholomorphic transformations. Suppose that each orbit of this action is either a real, or complex hypersurface and there exists a complex hypersurface orbit. Then M is biholomorphically equivalent to either*

- (i) $\widehat{B^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$, or
- (ii) $\widehat{\mathbb{C}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$, or
- (iii) $\widehat{\mathbb{CP}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$, or
- (iv) $\widetilde{S_{r,\infty}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$, or
- (v) $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}_{r,\infty}^2}$ (here $n = 2$)

for some $r > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m, n) = 1$. The biholomorphic equivalence can be chosen to be either SU_n -equivariant, or, if $n \geq 3$, SU_n -antiequivariant (here manifolds (i)-(v) are considered with the standard SU_n -actions).

Proof: Assume first that there is only one complex hypersurface orbit O . Consider $\tilde{M} := M \setminus O$. Since $\tilde{M}$ is clearly non-compact, by Theorem 3.2 the manifold $\tilde{M}$ is equivalent to $\hat{M}$, where $\hat{M}$ is either $S_{r,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$, or $\mathfrak{S}_{r,R}^2$, for some $0 \leq r < R \leq \infty$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m, n) = 1$, by means of a biholomorphic map $f : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \hat{M}$ that is either SU_n -equivariant, or SU_n -antiequivariant. We shall assume that f is SU_n -equivariant; the other case can be dealt with in the same manner.

Let ϕ and ψ be the $\mathfrak{su}_n$ -anticanonical maps defined on M and $\hat{M}$ respectively (see [Huck] p.p. 166-167 for the definition of $\mathfrak{g}$ -anticanonical map).

They map M and $\hat{M}$ into a projective space, and are holomorphic on $\tilde{M}$ and $\hat{M}$ respectively. *A priori* ϕ is only a meromorphic map with possible points of indeterminacy in O . However, since O is a complex hypersurface in M and ϕ is SU_n -equivariant, it follows that ϕ is in fact holomorphic on all of M .

We will be interested in the level sets of ϕ and ψ which form SU_n -invariant families of analytic subsets in M and $\hat{M}$ respectively. A direct calculation shows that the level sets of ψ are of the form $L/\mathbb{Z}_m$, where L is the intersection of a complex line passing through the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with either $S_{r,R}^n$, or $\mathfrak{S}_{r,R}^2$ (in the case $\hat{M} = \mathfrak{S}_{r,R}^2$ we set $m = 1$). Since f is SU_n -equivariant, it maps the intersections of the level sets of ϕ with $\tilde{M}$ into the level sets of ψ . Hence the level sets of ϕ form an SU_n -invariant family of holomorphic curves in M , and for every level set S , the intersection $S \cap \tilde{M}$ is biholomorphically equivalent to either an annulus of modulus $(R/r)^m$ (if $0 < r < R < \infty$), or a punctured disk (if $r = 0, R < \infty$ or $r > 0, R = \infty$), or $\mathbb{C}^*$ (if $r = 0$ and $R = \infty$). On the other hand, $S \cap \tilde{M}$ is obtained from the holomorphic curve S by deleting the points where S intersects O . Hence $S \cap \tilde{M}$ cannot be equivalent to an annulus which implies that S intersects O at a single point, and we have $r = 0$ or $R = \infty$. Let $\{\epsilon_j\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers convergent to 0. For every j we construct, as in the proof of Proposition 3.4, a tubular neighborhood U_{ϵ_j} of O . Since ∂U_{ϵ_j} is an SU_n -orbit and f is SU_n -equivariant, $f(\partial U_{\epsilon_j})$ is either $r_j S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$, or $\mathfrak{S}_{r_j}^3$ for some $r_j > 0$. As $j \rightarrow \infty$ we have either $r_j \rightarrow 0$, or $r_j \rightarrow \infty$.

Assume that $r_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ (here $r = 0$). Then U_{ϵ_j} is strongly pseudoconvex. It now follows from Proposition 3.4 that in this case $\hat{M} \neq \mathfrak{S}_{0,R}^2$. Hence $\hat{M} = S_{0,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$. Let B_R^n be the ball of radius R in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\widehat{B}_R^n$ its blow-up at the origin (see Example 2.1 (III) for notation). Consider the holomorphic embedding $\nu : S_{0,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \widehat{B}_R^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ defined by the formula

$$\nu([z]) := \{(z, w)\},$$

where $w = (w_1 : \dots : w_n)$ is uniquely determined by the conditions $z_i w_j = z_j w_i$ for all i, j , $[z] \in (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{Z}_m$ is the equivalence class of the point $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\{(z, w)\} \in \widehat{B}_R^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ is the equivalence class of the point $(z, w) \in \widehat{B}_R^n$. Clearly, ν is SU_n -equivariant. Now let $f_\nu := \nu \circ f$. We claim that f_ν extends to O to a biholomorphic SU_n -equivariant map of M onto $\widehat{B}_R^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$.

Let $\hat{O}$ be the unique complex hypersurface orbit in $\widehat{B_R^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$. Take $p \in O$ and find the level set S_p of ϕ passing through p . Let $\hat{p}$ be the unique point at which $\overline{f_\nu(S_p \setminus \{p\})}$ intersects $\hat{O}$. Define the extension F_ν of f_ν by setting $F_\nu(p) = \hat{p}$. Clearly, F_ν is SU_n -equivariant. We must show that it is continuous at every $p \in O$. Let $\{q_j\}$ be a sequence of points in M converging to p . Since all accumulation points of the sequence $\{F_\nu(q_j)\}$ lie in $\hat{O}$ and $\hat{O}$ is compact, it suffices to show that every convergent subsequence of $\{F_\nu(q_j)\}$ converges to $\hat{p}$. Let a subsequence $\{F_\nu(q_{j_k})\}$ converge to $q \in \hat{O}$. For every q_{j_k} there exists $g_{j_k} \in SU_n$ such that $g_{j_k}q_{j_k} \in S_p$. We select a convergent subsequence $\{g_{j_{k_l}}\}$ and denote its limit by g . Then $\{g_{j_{k_l}}q_{j_{k_l}}\}$ converges to gp . Since $gp \in O$ and $g_{j_{k_l}}q_{j_{k_l}} \in S_p$, it follows that $gp = p$, i.e., $g \in I_p$. By definition, F_ν is continuous on S_p and we have $F_\nu(g_{j_{k_l}}q_{j_{k_l}}) \rightarrow F_\nu(p)$. On the other hand, since F_ν is SU_n -equivariant, we have $F_\nu(g_{j_{k_l}}q_{j_{k_l}}) = g_{j_{k_l}}F_\nu(q_{j_{k_l}}) \rightarrow gq$. Since $g \in I_p$, this implies that $q = F_\nu(p)$. Thus $\{F_\nu(q_j)\}$ converges to $F_\nu(p)$ which shows that F_ν is continuous and therefore holomorphic on M . Hence M is equivalent to either $\widehat{B^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$, or $\widehat{\mathbb{C}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$.

Assume that $r_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ (here $R = \infty$). If $\hat{M} = S_{r,\infty}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$, we consider the holomorphic embedding $\sigma : S_{r,\infty}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \widetilde{S_{r,\infty}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$

$$\sigma([z]) := \{(1 : z_1 : \dots : z_n)\},$$

where $(z_0 : \dots : z_n)$ are homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{CP}^n$, the hyperplane at infinity in $\mathbb{CP}^n$ is given by $\{z_0 = 0\}$, and $\{(1 : z_1 : \dots : z_n)\} \in \widetilde{S_{r,\infty}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ denotes the equivalence class of $(1 : z_1 : \dots : z_n) \in \widetilde{S_{r,\infty}^n}$. By an analogous argument one can now show that the map $f_\sigma := \sigma \circ f$ extends to O and gives rise to a biholomorphic map from M onto $\widetilde{S_{r,\infty}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$. If $\hat{M} = \mathfrak{S}_{r,\infty}^2$ we regard σ as a map from $\mathfrak{S}_{r,\infty}^2$ into $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}_{r,\infty}^2}$ (setting $m = 1$) and the same proof gives that f_σ extends to O and establishes biholomorphic equivalence between M and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}_{r,\infty}^2}$.

Assume now that there exist two complex hypersurface orbits O_1 and O_2 . As above, we consider the manifold $\tilde{M}$ obtained from M by removing O_1 and O_2 . For some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m, n) = 1$, and $0 \leq r < R \leq \infty$, it is biholomorphically equivalent to $S_{r,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ by means of a map f that is either SU_n -equivariant, or SU_n -antievvariant. Arguments very similar to the ones used above show that in this case we have $r = 0$ and $R = \infty$, and the map $f_\tau := \tau \circ f$ extends to a biholomorphic map $M \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$. Here $\tau : (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$

is the SU_n -equivariant map defined as follows:

$$\tau([z]) := \left\{ \left((1 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right) \right\},$$

where $w = (w_1 : \dots : w_n)$ is uniquely determined from the conditions $z_i w_j = z_j w_i$ for all i, j and $\left\{ \left((1 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right) \right\} \in \widehat{\mathbb{CP}}^n / \mathbb{Z}_m$ is the equivalence class of $\left((1 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right) \in \widehat{\mathbb{CP}}^n$.

The proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 3.7 In the proof of Theorem 3.6 we extended the maps f_ν , f_σ and f_τ along an SU_n -invariant family of holomorphic curves. This family was constructed by considering the level sets of the $\mathfrak{su}_n$ -anticanonical map on M . There are two more constructions that lead to the same family of curves. One approach is to use the isotropy subgroups of points in the exceptional orbits as we did in [IKru] for the group U_n (see the proof of Theorem 3.3 there). Another approach comes from [N]: for an SU_n -invariant Hermitian metric one considers the collection of all geodesics passing through a fixed point in an exceptional orbit and orthogonal to it.

References

- [AHR] Azad, H., Huckleberry, A. and Richthofer, W., Homogeneous CR-manifolds, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 358(1985), 125–154.
- [BDK] Bland, J., Duchamp, T. and Kalka, M., A characterization of $\mathbb{CP}^n$ by its automorphism group, *Complex Analysis* (University Park, Pa, 1986), 60–65, *Lecture Notes In Mathematics* 1268, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [CM] Chern, S. S. and Moser, J. K., Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds, *Acta Math.* 133(1974), 219–271.
- [GK] Greene, R. E. and Krantz, S. G., Characterization of complex manifolds by the isotropy subgroups of their automorphism groups, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 34(1985), 865–879.
- [GR] Gunning, R. C. and Rossi, H., *Analytic functions of several complex variables*, Prentice-Hall, 1965.

- [HsiWC] Hsiang, W. C. and Hsiang, W. Y., Some results on differentiable actions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72(1966), 134–138.
- [HsiWY] Hsiang, W. Y. On the principal orbit type and P. A. Smith theory of $SU(p)$ actions, *Topology* 6(1967), 125–135.
- [Huck] Huckleberry, A., Actions of groups of holomorphic transformations, *Encycl. Math. Sci.*, vol. 69, Several Complex Variables VI, 1990, 143–196, Springer-Verlag.
- [Hum] Humphreys, J. E., *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [IKra] Isaev, A. V. and Krantz, S. G., On the automorphism groups of hyperbolic manifolds, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 534(2001), 187–194.
- [IKru] Isaev, A. V. and Kruzhilin, N. G., Effective actions of the unitary group on complex manifolds, to appear in *Canad. J. Math* in 2002.
- [KS] Klimyk, A. and Schmüdgen, K., Quantum groups and their representations, *Texts and Monographs in Physics*, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [Kr] Krüger, A., Homogeneous Cauchy-Riemann structures, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* 18(1991), 193–212.
- [KL] Kruzhilin, N. G. and Loboda, A. V., Linearization of automorphisms of pseudoconvex hypersurfaces (translated from Russian), *Sov. Math. Dokl.* 28(1983), 70–72.
- [M] Mukoyama, K., Smooth $SU(p, q)$ -actions on $(2p + 2q - 1)$ -sphere and on the complex projective $(p + q - 1)$ -space, *Kyushu J. Math.*, 55(2001), 213–236.
- [N] Nagano, T., Transformation groups with $(n - 1)$ -dimensional orbits on non-compact manifolds, *Nagoya Math. J.* 14(1959), 25–38.
- [R1] Rossi, H., Attaching analytic spaces to an analytic space along a pseudoconcave boundary, Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis, Minneapolis, 1964, Springer-Verlag, 1965, 242–256.

- [R2] Rossi, H., Homogeneous strongly pseudoconvex hypersurfaces, Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis Rice Univ., Houston, 1972, *Rice Univ. Studies*, 59(1973), no. 1, 131–145.
- [U] Uchida, F., Smooth actions of special unitary groups on cohomology complex projective spaces, *Osaka J. Math.* 12(1975), 375–400.

Department of Mathematics
The Australian National University
Canberra, ACT 0200
AUSTRALIA
E-mail: alexander.isaev@maths.anu.edu.au

Department of Complex Analysis
Steklov Mathematical Institute
42 Vavilova St.
Moscow 117966
RUSSIA
E-mail: kruzhil@ns.ras.ru